

WEST LOS ANGELES SAWTELLE NEIGHBORHOOD COUNCIL  
BOARD MEETING MINUTES  
Wednesday, April 24, 2019, 7:00 PM  
Felicia Mahood Multipurpose Senior Center  
11338 Santa Monica Boulevard – Community Room – Los Angeles, CA 90025

*Attending:* Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell (late).

*Absent:* None.

TIME BEGINS: 7:00 p.m.      TIME ENDS: 9:04 p.m.

**I.      Call to Order**

Chair Keeton officially called the meeting to order with quorum at 7:00 p.m.

**II.     Community Partner Reports**

Zachary Gaidzik from Supervisor Sheila Kuehl's office gave updates from her office, highlighting the success of their homeless connect day.

Senior Lead Officer James Lavenson introduced himself and his new captain, Captain Victor Davalos. Captain Davalos introduced himself, summarized his background and emphasized that the exchange of information between police and the community is critical. He asked the stakeholders to call him Vic, and said he is not sure how long he will be in West LA.

Vanessa from the Victims Advocate Unit introduced herself, and explains that they work with the city attorney's office to help victims of violent crime and other types of crime. They help victims sign up for the Victim Compensation Fund.

Sarah from the Mayor's Youth Council introduced herself, and explained that the Mayor's Youth Council was an initiative set up by Mayor Garcetti to help get high school students involved and engaged with the Los Angeles community. Currently they're working on bridge housing, and she defined bridge housing for the stakeholders present.

Arman Ghorbani announced a Renters' Rights Fair coming up on May 5th from 9:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., to be held at the farmer's market. Non-profits and volunteer attorneys will be present to answer questions on illegal evictions and rent increase attempts, as well as other associated issues.

Chair Keeton moved up item V of the agenda.

**V.      Presentation re: Purple Line Expansion**

Marlon Walker from the Purple Line Metro initiative introduced himself, and began by explaining that the Purple Line extension will extend the Purple Line all the way west 9 miles to the local VA hospital. The

last station will be at the Wilshire and Palms VA campus. The project will be in 3 stages. Section 1 has the most stations. Sections 1 and 2 are under construction, and Section 3 is not under construction yet. Next year around this time they will be looking under Wilshire Blvd to see what existing utilities there are and move them out of the way in preparation for digging for the actual stations. The impact to this area is mostly traffic-related, either diverting traffic or reducing Wilshire down to one lane. The reason the impact has so far been lessened is that they've worked with the DOT to mitigate traffic problems. That is going to change next May, and the most important thing for people in this area is that in next May they will start making the outline of the Westwood/UCLA station outline. This is anticipated to be the busiest of all the stations.

Walker explained that the northeast full entrance will be at Chase Bank. Advanced Utility Relocation will be done at night. He then explained where they would be diverting traffic and key dates in the project. He also showed a rendering of the proposed Westwood/VA Hospital station. He then explained the proposed design for the new, reduced-in-size parking lot. The station box will be about 90 feet down and 1000 feet long.

The proposed schedule for county approvals runs from January to July; they will be relocating the mural at the VA Hospital. They will be changing it to a glass/mosaic tile that can withstand weather much better than the current paint-on-concrete mural. He also summarized the major truck shifts and the routes they are projected to follow.

Chair Keeton moved up item XIII since a number of stakeholders were there regarding it.

### **XIII. Discussion and possible motion regarding off-leash dogs in Stoner Park**

Jay Handal explained that there has been a continual issue at Stoner Park where members of the city have asked the city to put in a dog park, and that hasn't happened.

Handal moved to write a letter to Michael Sholton, general member of Rec and Park, and to councilmember Mike Bonin, and to Ms Barnett at Animal Services demanding the city do a zero-tolerance enforcement at Stoner Park until that area is cleared up. Ron Migdal seconded.

A stakeholder said that, speaking on behalf of the Hispanic community on the Westside, he wanted to say that it had become an issue that they need to enforce the municipal code that says dogs must be leashed. It has become a major problem for people who visit the park. Owners of some off-leash dogs have become very irresponsible and allow their dogs to run around; sometimes owners have become aggressive, and he thinks this is a matter that needs to be considered. Migdal asked who had become aggressive, and the stakeholder said both owners and dogs had become aggressive.

Roberto Garcia, stakeholder, introduced himself as a volunteer coach for a local youth soccer program, and said in the last two months there had been a rash of off-leash dogs. They don't feel comfortable to practice with a lot of dogs around the park. He has asked the owners nicely, and has been ignored. The owners have in response asked to see his permit.

Fernanda, stakeholder, introduced herself as a mom with two children who play in the soccer program that previous stakeholder Garcia coaches every Tuesday and Thursday, and that they've had problems with big dogs off leash. Three weeks ago a dog bit the ball the kids were playing with, and other times owners don't pick up their poop after their pets. Some of the children are also afraid of the dogs. She clarified that the dates are Tuesdays and Thursdays from 6 PM to 7 PM.

Jonathan Rodriguez, stakeholder, introduced himself as one of the players on the team and explained that sometimes the dogs bite the balls or bite the team's cones, and they have to waste time of the hour that they do have to chase and get the balls back. They also feel unsafe.

Jennifer, stakeholder, added that her daughter plays sports and rec at Stoner Park and said that they have had a problem lately with people having dogs off leash. She would like to see the leash law enforced.

Desa Philadelphia said that her daughter Lydi, who was present, used to play soccer with LA Alliance, previous stakeholder Garcia's soccer program. When she first moved into the neighborhood, her family had a dog, and in the morning there were so many dogs in Stoner Park that she thought it was a dog park. But once they found out it was illegal, they would always have their dog on a leash. But she thinks a lot of parents have hit on the real problem, that the dog owners have a sense of entitlement about this space. It would be one thing if they were running their dogs when children are present. When kids are playing soccer, they're uninhibited, and to run into poo on the field, it's unacceptable.

Migdal asked if anyone was present from Stoner Park, and explained that the reason reason he asked is that the east side of Stoner Park now has a dog enclosure. Handal said that was not correct. Philadelphia added that it is a fence, but not enclosed. Migdal said that it is new, and that when he spoke to someone at the park about it, they said it was a dog enclosure and that they're moving the gates to the parking lot side because it's inaccessible to him by scooter currently.

Galen Pindell said that was one of his questions: there is an enclosure put up to reseed the field, and it is still up while they've taken down some of the fencing. If it is permanent, it could be a solution. Also, he added that this is just enforcing a rule that's already on the books. Migdal added that there are now permanent fences that are bonded in concrete.

Danillo Torro asked if SLO Lavenson could assist with the code enforcement, and if not, who enforces that code. SLO Lavenson explained that Animal Control is one agency that can come out and enforce it, and LAPD can enforce it. He added that it is not a designated dog park, although people use it as such, and that they have a dog park at Westwood Park, and that's where they can go. He was not aware of the dogs biting balls and dog feces. He said that he had heard the issues of entitlement, and that the problem was now on his and his captain's radar. He will get the rangers out there, and he will be out there tomorrow night. SLO Lavenson added that the first step is to change the culture in the park, to tell people they are not allowed to have their dogs off-leash there, and that they need to go to Westwood.

Philadelphia asked if it was possible to have LAPD or Animal Control there for at least one practice a week to set the tone until the dog owners get the message, and SLO Lavenson said that he will be there tomorrow night, and will meet with park staff as well.

Torro said that he had talked to the park management about the reseeded at one point, and can see that it is an issue the management needs to bring up to the park's board.

Handal said that, for the record, when this came to his attention, he contacted the head of the park, and contacted Mark Israel, head of all western region parks, and met with the captain and lieutenant of Animal Services. They are in a city that's short-staffed, so they probably won't come and have an officer sit there every time. Handal said that this is going to have to be a well-rounded and consistent enforcement issue. So the motion tonight is to put all city officials in charge of that park on notice. Because if a dog bites someone and they have been put on notice, it's a huge liability for the city.

Motion to write a letter to Michael Sholton, general member of Rec and Park, and to councilmember Mike Bonin, and to Ms Barnett at Animal Services demanding the city do a zero-tolerance enforcement at Stoner Park until that area is cleared up. 13 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.
---

Chair Keeton thanked the stakeholders for coming in and letting the board know how they feel.

### **III. General Public Comment**

Shirley Williamson, stakeholder, introduced herself. She has lived on Corinth for just over 20 years, and said that it has always been lovely. For the last several months, she has noticed that Bird scooters are thrown everywhere on the sidewalks, and that there is no ordinance about how to park them. She is particularly concerned as an older person about older people who have been falling. A friend of hers last week got off a bus on the corner, and two Bird scooters were lying on the sidewalk at the bus stop and she fell, and she thinks something needs to be done and that there needs to be coordination between the council and the police department.

### **IV. Approvals**

#### **a. Outstanding draft meeting minutes**

Jay Handal said that regarding the draft meeting minutes from the board's March meeting, on the item related to 11434 Pico Blvd on page 13, item d., going all the way over to page 15, there seems to be a discrepancy in what the board feel was actually passed. One side believes that they passed the motion that accepted the RAS of the entire building with the removal of the units in the back. Also in the secondary part based on what they see here, it's believed that a motion was passed to also have the developer pay for transit passes and to plumb out EV electrical. The other side (side 2) believes that the last two items were actually not a part of the motion, and that the opening motion made was to remove the units on the 6th floor creating the image of a setback and to make the entire building RAS.

Handal believed that while the board cannot sit and debate the actual motion, they can take a look and see amongst themselves what they believed was actually passed. There were no PLUM recommendations in the motion, so there was no motion on the table. The only motion voted on would be making it an RAS building and making it flat in back.

Jay Ross said that his notes from the meeting had been included the board's packet, as well as the motion that he had submitted. He said there were six items: number one is about the setbacks, that stayed the same. Number two was about the affordability; he had crossed out the sections about the trees and the public part in the front. The minutes say numbers five and six are part of the motion. It is his recollection that one, two, five and six were the motion. The amendment affected item number one. He asked the board to look at his notes in their packets.

Chair Keeton suggested that the board should not rely only on their own memories and the facts they experienced, but instead she would like them to think about what they thought they were voting on.

Galen Pindell clarified that there was no PLUM motion on the floor with no suggestion. Jay Ross did pass his own motion for consideration. During the presentation, the developer said he would remove the back units and not do any of the other stuff. He thought there was discussion about them not doing electric stations, just to plumb it. He remembered there was no PLUM motion to be considered, and the main thing was the developer agreed to remove back units.

Arman Ghorbani said that he did not remember the details completely either.

Chair Keeton clarified that in any case the minutes are inaccurate because they say it was a PLUM motion.

Arman Ghorbani said that he does remember the developer saying that they couldn't do the electric charging stations.

Dylan Wright thought that everything they discussed had been discussed, but he thought that was all in the package they were voting on.

Migdal thought he did remember specifically, and that the motion included the RSO, transit passes, and specifically remembered the developer saying that plumbing everything out with electrical would be exorbitantly expensive.

Stephen Kwok said that to his memory, when Jay Ross made the motion, he mentioned he was striking out the struck-out items, and as he made the motion he struck them out. At that point it was seconded, so from my memory that's what the motion was. So when they passed it, he remembers the discussion about the electric. But whether or not the developer understood that the motion was carrying those is separate.

Danillo Torro said that he does not recall the passes being part of the motion, and just recalls the building being changed and the RSO.

Jean Shigematsu said she does not remember.

Molly Rudnick said that her recollection was the setback and the rent stabilization. When they voted on it, she thought they said it was rent stabilization and the setback. Handal said that for the record, that is what he recollected as well.

Chair Keeton said that her understanding is essentially that Kwok and Ross have the same memory, Ghorbani and Pindell don't know. Migdal thought there were transit passes, but nothing about PLUM. The differing items are two conditions vs four conditions. It comes down to three board members who remember two conditions to two board members who remember four conditions, so she thinks the appropriate thing would be a motion to adjust the minutes.

Migdal moved to amend the minutes to say that the motion was by Jay Ross, not from PLUM, and to reflect that only the RSO and the setback conditions were part of it. Handal seconded.

Motion to amend the minutes to say that the motion was by Jay Ross, not from PLUM, and to reflect that only the RSO and the setback conditions were part of it. 8 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Torro, Handal, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 2 No (Ross, Kwok). 3 Abstain (Philadelphia, Ghorbani, Kageyama). Motion passes.

Migdal moved to approve the minutes, Handal seconded.

Motion to approve the March board meeting draft minutes. 12 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 1 No (Ross). 0 Abstain. Motion passes.

**c. Support Run for Hope**

Jay Handal moved to support the Run for Hope, Migdal seconded.

Motion to support the Run for Hope. 13 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.

**b. Outstanding MERs reports**

Jay Handal clarified that item VII (Election Report) will be part of this item since the election figures into the MERs reports.

Handal passed out the breakdown of where their budget is now. He reminded them that they will be able to roll over \$10,000 this year. The budget itself is \$42,000.

For his election report, he explained that they allocated \$17,000 for elections, including multiple mailers. In addition, they had printed 2000 postcards to give to every candidate to hand out. There is currently \$2,431.02 left in the election budget. As they get closer to their election date of June 2nd, the board may do Facebook ads to raise awareness.

Chair Keeton said that from at least one board member and one stakeholder, they had a question about the election spot, approved by the board to be at the farmer's market. Since there is a board member with interest in the farmer's market, the question of whether it's allowed has been submitted to DONE and city clerk and city attorney. They have not heard back that it is not allowed, otherwise it would have been bounced to another location. She finally clarified that there is not a candidate running opposed who has an interest in the farmer's market.

Ron Migdal moved to approve the MERs, Handal seconded.

Stephen Kwok handed out background on the approval. Most relevant is the January 2019 board meeting minutes. Per the itemized budget which was part of the board approval, there was \$5,000 allocated for postcards, \$5,000 for a forum, and \$5,000 for postcards to get the vote out.

Kwok said that one component is over budget, and in addition, he attached the invoice from Phantom Lithography for the postcard printing; his concern is that it was over budget for a postcard for elected candidates, and the board only approved the itemized budget as it stands. They approved it and combined budgets under \$15,000 because they didn't know it was over budget because it does not say on the invoice. He said that they actually spent \$7,000 when the itemized budget only has \$5,000 budgeted.

Chair Keeton said that as a procedural matter they can approve the actual items now retroactively. And this budget is requesting 24,000 instead of 17,000. She also does not know if this budget was done after postage changes. She also thinks some of those items are combined.

Handal explained that as the election chair, he made a decision to combine postcard two and postcard three with time and postage being what they are. He thinks it's good that they are really nitpicking, but the reality is they have \$24,000 in election money to spend and costs do go up. They can come back at any given point or Kwok can do it himself.

Handal moved to amend election budget, Migdal seconded.

Kwok said that per the funding training the board received, normal expenditures require a board motion and approval, but elections are allowed to bypass that with an itemized budget. An expenditure cannot be approved after the expenditure has taken place.

Handal said that procedurally they cannot amend something if it has already been spent, but the city is on the hook to pay it and they have to be transparent to the public to reflect what that cost actually is.

Kwok said, just as a matter of approvals and understanding the election, this postcard had 20,000 copies printed to cover the entire neighborhood. He asked some stakeholders in the region and board members

whether they remember receiving this postcard and most of them said no. He knows one stakeholder who received it. Kwok recommends they check with Phantom Lithography to make sure the invoice said that everything they wanted to cover was covered, and potentially review that if they are going to keep doing in the future.

Chair Keeton agreed with Kwok, but what she does not like is saying “We should do this” but then to sit here and speculate about what was approved or what might have happened is a waste of time. Handal said that for the record, he sent an email to Dave requesting information. Based on what that response is, the next response is an actual motion.

Eric Nakamura asked if they were knocking out number four on the election budget, and Handal said that they can do number four, and it will probably be based on new numbers. He said that rather than do flyers, he decided to do the postcards, and wanted to hold off on anything else until they had confirmed the voting location. He had a conversation with Chris Garcia with the city clerk’s office about location, and confirmed there’s no fiscal issue or remuneration coming with the farmer’s market. He thinks the money potentially for flyers was better spent on the postcards. And regarding food on election day, they would need an event permit and insurance for the event according to new rules.

Chair Keeton said that procedurally, she needs to clarify if the budget rules say they can amend the budget, so they need to table this MERs for next meeting.

## **VI. Outreach Report**

Chair Keeton asked if they had received the appropriate paperwork from any of the Outreach Report items, and Jay Handal had said that they had received an NPG from Brockton Elementary that had been done correctly and fits all the criteria of timing, starting, and ending, so item VI.c. was moved up.

### **c. Move to approve up to \$5,000 for a grant to Brockton Elementary**

Jay Handal explained that they are looking for \$5,000 for a grant, and that the board would be funding 50% of a Chromebook cart, ewaste, and a year of integrated services.

Stakeholders Fernanda Valasquez and Jennifer introduced themselves, saying they are looking for \$5,000 in funds and that the Brockton PTA has saved the rest of the cost, \$5,359. It includes the purchase of 40 chromebooks, a charging cart, resulting ewaste, and licensing fees. It is all within LAUSD guidelines and they did have a quote from their supplier. 71.8% of their students qualify for free or reduced lunches. Of that group, they see a lot of students that do not have access to technology and educational services at home. Providing that at school gives them a higher chance of success. Providing that technology on the elementary level also increases their chance of success in high school.

Handal moved to approve, Ron Migdal seconded.

Migdal asked whether the Chromebooks were intended for the kids to take home. Stakeholder Jennifer clarified that they will be used solely on the school property. They have approximately 260 students and

the school has a computer lab with only 30 iMacs, with all students currently forced to share them. Chromebooks are mobile, and with 40 of them they can rotate them through the classrooms. They can do research, work on presentations, and teachers are undergoing professional development to train on them.

Eric Nakamura asked, in the event that they had not come to the WLASNC board for money, if the plan was to use the PTA's \$5,359 and just buy fewer chromebooks. Jennifer clarified that the plan is actually larger than that, and that they also want to buy iPads for their students as well. Knowing the timing of the board, they wanted to get funding just for the chromebooks to get a resolution by June. Then in fall/winter they would be moving forward with the iPads. Nakamura asked what they would do if they got no funding today, and Jennifer said they would buy less equipment.

Desa Philadelphia wanted to say that they have been discussing in the Outreach Committee lately how the schools in this neighborhood are the lifeblood to families, so she thinks it is important that the board has a relationship with local schools and that the WLASNC board are seen as a partner. She would like to see them engage families in the community by funding a school project at least once a year.

Jay Ross asked if the check would be issued to the PTA, and Handal said that it would be. Handal added that as the usual fiscal conservative who believes they should never be just giving money away to schools, in this particular case he thinks it is a great idea and great outreach. His question for the PTA is, he is looking for a return on investment, and this board needs to have parents in the neighborhood engaging with the board and in its election. Jennifer added that this morning, she talked with the county registrar to get applications for voter registration, so they are working on that already.

Stephen Kwok said he was glad this all came together and was proud of the Outreach Committee for making this connection. He is very supportive of technology in schools. He also said that he was a stickler for details of how and why money is spent, and wanted to understand the process involved behind creating the budget for the Brockton program. Jennifer explained that since LAUSD is the second-largest school district in the country, any time they use or want to bring in technology, there is a complicated process.

Galen Pindell said, to Handal's point, that they need a return on their investment, and asked what could the school could do to make sure they are messaging to the parents to get involved with the board. Jennifer said that she did come and pitch an invitation for their centennial ceremony this Saturday at a previous meeting. So originally they had started reaching out to the NC for that event to have them come and sponsor t-shirts, and have a booth.

Motion to approve up to \$5,000 for a grant to Brockton Elementary. 13 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.
---

**b. Motion to approve up to \$5,000 for a grant to University High School**

Jay Handal moved to postpone since he had not received any paperwork.

**a. Motion to approve up to \$5,000 for a grant to Nora Sterry Elementary**

Jay Handal said that he had an NPG from Nora Sterry emailed to him by Desa Philadelphia, but unfortunately the assistant secretary from there needs to sign the NPG. He asked the representative present from Nora Sterry to tell the board what the money is for.

Stakeholder Sara Glasslander introduced herself as a representative of Nora Sterry, and explained that the money will go to payment for their education through music program. Last year as a staff they decided to bring more arts in to the school, and one of the things one of our teachers did was create the grant for the music program. This year it's costing them about \$8500. They have done a direct ask for their parents to help fund it, and this program gives weekly music lessons from kindergarten up through 8th grade. There is a culture change that has to take place so that more value is placed on the arts, so the fundraising efforts have been slow. They are asking for \$5,000 as part of the \$8500 to provide music education this year.

Handal moved, Philadelphia seconded.

Philadelphia said that her daughter goes to a school that she pays tuition for, and they have musical grants included in their curriculum. She was were there for a free violin lesson, and Philadelphia has seen firsthand how being engaged in music can be impactful on how children learn. She thinks this would send a strong message to recognize what they serve in the community.

Jay Ross asked why the grant was for the arts as opposed to computers, etc., and Ms. Glasslander clarified that the board had approved a grant last year for their school for a robotics program. She added that with regard to music and the arts, it is another form for children to express themselves, and that the kids are waiting with baited breath. Ross suggested they could ask the school to put the WLASNC logo on purchased items.

Handal said that he envisions this will help bring people in to vote in the upcoming election.

Chair Keeton said that she thinks they may end up in a situation a few years from now where the parents recognize the benefit of the music program, but still can't afford it. She does agree with both Handal and Ross that having the board known to students is a good idea.

Motion to approve up to \$5,000 for a grant to Nora Sterry Elementary. 13 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.

Handal moved to transfer \$7,960 to the Outreach budget to cover everything that's been voted on previously, Ron Migdal seconded.

Motion to transfer \$7,960 to the Outreach budget to cover everything that has been voted on previously. 13 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama,

Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.

Stakeholder Corey said that, regarding a return on investment with relation to the election, maybe the schools could offer up a polling place. Chair Keeton said she thought they were only allowed one polling station.

Chair Keeton skipped to item X.

**X. Yamaguchi Center Committee Report**

Chair Keeton said that she has been asking for Glenn and Hannah to get info about the DOT report. Chair Keeton put in a formal request for the documents about 7 days ago. As she understands, stakeholder Joy's issue is still existing, which is separate from other Yamaguchi center parking issues. They did not park it in the neighborhood, which was the accusation.

**IX. Pearl Street Parking Committee Report**

Danillo Torro said that he had gotten the DOT representative all set up, so they will not see the other stakeholder again.

**XI. Homeless Committee Report**

**a. Appointment of new members**

Naomi Kageyama announced that one stakeholder withdrew from the committee early on so they have an opening and an application from Sandra Trutt, who is active with the League of Women Voters and able to provide technical assistance and support.

Kageyama moved to add her, Ron Migdal seconded.

Motion to add Sandra True to the Homelessness Committee. 11 Yes (Keeton, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 2 Abstain (Wright, Rudnick). Motion passes.

**b. Report on WLASNC Community Forum on Homelessness on 5/21/19**

Kageyama said that they are having a community forum for the WLASNC on homelessness. The date will be May 21, 2019, and they have about eight speakers for a panel lined up, and will be finalizing all speakers this week. The format is to try to get education from neighboring neighborhood councils and reps from Sheila Kuehl, LASHA and Mike Bonin's office. She would like to ask for participation from other board members and prospective board members.

**XII. Presentation, Discussion and possible motion regarding speed limits**

Jay Handal explained that the presenter was not present, and they would try to get him for next time; apparently he came to a previous meeting and sat there for an hour and forty-five minutes.

**XV. PLUM Report**

Jay Ross said there was no PLUM report.

**VIII. West LA Community Plan Committee Report**

**a. Appointment of new members**

Jay Ross explained that they had two applications for regular stakeholder members, with Jay Handal introducing them as Terry Temming and Catherine Shaw.

Handal moved to appoint both of them, Dylan Wright seconded.

Desa Philadelphia said she had been in the meetings with them, and they are great and very eager to engage.

Motion to appoint Terry Temming and Catherine Shaw to the West LA Community Plan COmmittee Report. 11 Yes (Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.
--

**b. Conceptual Goals**

Jay Ross explained that they have had three meetings so far, and produced the first document for board to consider on Conceptual Goals. They are hoping to have a three-step process; conceptual goals are the first one. There are eight pages in the document, and hopefully they will approve some version of it in a month or two, then break it down to specific objectives and tasks, then specific zoning for areas. The existing community plan has some good stuff in it they want to keep; other stuff is not enforced well since it's got very vague/grey language. Some of the other items come from other community plans. Some come from the monthly LUPC region. His goal is for them is to get a template document to get to those other neighborhood councils, since there's strength in numbers. They can now discuss or table the document.

Handal moved to postpone voting to approve the document for reading and discussion, Migdal seconded.

Motion to postpone voting to approve the Conceptual Goals document for reading and discussion. 13 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.
--

**XVI. LUPC Motions – discussion and possible motion to support on all – TOC and preferential parking permits; support for CF19-0104 re: digital signs on vehicles; zoning standard for co-living housing; HCID to comply with Density Bonus Ordinance**

Jay Ross explained that they have five motions which come from the 14-neighborhood-council West Side Association. One is for TOC’s to have reduced parking permits. You cannot do that according to state law, but the motion is to lobby the state to alter that ability. Next is there is a state law with cars and taxis with digital signs; the practice is technically illegal, so Blumenfield has motioned to enforce that. The next one is for co-living housing; the units are very big. They may have six people in one unit, but parking for 2. So the motion is asking for the planning department to come up with regulations. The next one is there is an audit for HCID, a lot of people complained about them not auditing projects under the Density Bonus Ordinance. Part of the motion is for this to show up in the general manager’s performance review if he does not do audits.

Jay Handal moved to bundle all five items and support them. Galen Pindell seconded.

Ron Migdal asked if there was not some provision voted on that requires low-income housing to go through the city for approval? Handal said that to his knowledge, the problem with the 22/22, 18/18, bonus and low-income housing is that it gets qualified downtown, and it goes back to the developer to choose which from the pool to put in. There needs to be a watchdog keeping track of the fact that the housing is put in, who is renting it, that they qualified, etc. The motion will start harping on the city to make sure that the affordable units they say are there are actually there and that they’re actually being used for affordable housing.

Motion to support on all LUPC motions – TOC and preferential parking permits; support for CF19-0104 re: digital signs on vehicles; zoning standard for co-living housing; HCID to comply with Density Bonus Ordinance. 13 Yes (Keeton, Wright, Ghorbani, Kwok, Ross, Philadelphia, Torro, Handal, Kageyama, Nakamura, Shigematsu, Rudnick, Migdal, Pindell). 0 No. 0 Abstain. Motion passes.
--

Unanimous aye vote to approve.

**XVII. Public Comment for Items Not on the Agenda**

A Stakeholder introduced himself as a representative from the Neighborhood Council Alliance; he wanted to say hello to the WLASNC board and wanted to know if he needed an agenda item in the future to speak. Chair Keeton said that he should probably be in the Government Partner section of future agendas.

Stakeholder Corey asked if the board had received his application for the Community Plan Committee, and Naomi Kagayema said that she had only received two. Chair Keeton suggested that he come to their next meeting.

**XVIII. Board Comment and Announcements**

Arman Ghorbani announced that he needs volunteers for the renter's fair at 8:45 in the morning and at 2:00 at the end.

### **XIX. Adjournment**

Jay Handal motioned to adjourn, Ron Migdal seconded.

Jay Ross asked what the policy was on motions, and if every one has to be written on the agenda. Chair Keeton said that he can bring the motion on paper and pass it out. What they can't have is just a presentation without it being up for discussion. But if it is presentation and discussion, that's okay.

Meeting was adjourned at 9:04 p.m.

Minutes completed by John P. of AppleOne, an authorized vendor for LA City. Minutes presented by Naomi Kageyama, WLASNC Secretary.